

Exhibit F

Dear Mrs. Ellen Bearicks,

I received your letter to ask me for the address update of the enclosed Claimants.

First of all, most of the Korean Claimants do not want to receive a letter including an award letter from the SF-DCT. They say that they do not want their family members including their husbands to know whether they received breast implant surgery, or whether they received checks (money) in relation to diseases from the surgery. Some of the Claimants filed complaints with me that I had released their addresses to the SF-DCT. They want me to keep their filing itself confidential. I assume that they do not want me to update their addresses.

Secondly, I am not allowed to release the personal information of the enclosed Claimants to the SF-DCT under the Korean laws even if they are my clients to represent before the SF-DCT. The address information is their personal information. I must get their permissions and their submissions of new addresses, which are not possible.

They left their smart phone numbers to me and I have them for all. So I have no problem to contact them whenever it is necessary and to distribute the payments to them. It is not true that I cannot locate the enclosed Claimants so I cannot distribute the payments to them.

For the resolution of the disputes with the Korean Claimants, the SF-DCT must keep its numerous promises of the POM approvals. The SF-DCT held many Claimants' submissions by disregarding its promises in the meetings with me in 2003-2004. You were in the meetings when I explained how and why the affirmative statements of surgeons were written like that. You are one of the responsible people to solve. In addition, The SF-DCT must respect a mediation proposed to me. You took the phones from Mrs. Ann Phillips while processing the mediation in the DC. You knew that I and the SF-DCT reached to an agreement. The motions for the withdrawal of the cancelations of the POM approvals by the SF-DCT and for the respect of the mediation proposed by the SF-DCT are pending the Court. The SF-DCT must take the initiative before the Court's ruling.

Date: June 8, 2017

Yeon Ho Kim

